UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,864	05/15/2007	Hans-Georg Frank	P71215US0	6812
	7590 04/21/200 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH	STREET N.W.	WOODWARD, CHERIE MICHELLE		
SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/575,864	FRANK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHERIE M. WOODWARD	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 I      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/575,864 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-25, 27, and 29, 31, drawn to various species of peptide compounds and pharmaceutical compositions comprising the peptide compounds.

Group II, claim 26, drawn to antibodies.

Group III, claim 28, drawn to a method of using a peptide compound of formula 7a.

Group IV, claim 30, drawn to a method of using a peptide compound of formula 8a.

Group V, claim 32, drawn to a method of using a peptide compound of formula 9.

Group VI, claim 33, drawn to a method of using a peptide compound of formula 10.

Group VII, claim 34, drawn to a method of synthesizing building blocks via solid phase synthesis.

Group VIII, claim 35 drawn to a method of synthesizing peptidic compounds.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-VIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: claim 1 lacks unity as being anticipated by Phelan et al., (J Am Chem Soc. 1997 Jan 22;119(3):455-460) (cited of record in Applicant's IDS of 5/11/2006). Phelan et al., teach peptidic compounds having covalently closed bridge structures where the glutamine residues at positions i and i+ 7 of the peptides were tethered with an alkanediyl chain between the side-chain nitrogen atoms (abstract). Peptides containing the tether were synthesized on the solid phase by amide formation between an  $\alpha$ , $\dot{\omega}$ -diaminoaklane and the side chain carboxylates of glutamate residues (abstract). Analogous peptides were also prepared using the thiolysine cross-linking method (abstract). Because claim 1 is anticipated by Phelan et al., the remaining claims lack the same or corresponding special technical feature and as such, lack unity. The expression "special technical features" means those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a

Application/Control Number: 10/575,864

Art Unit: 1647

technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Page 3

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Formulas - 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d, 2, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d, 5, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c, 7d, 8a, 8b, 9, 10

Structures of claim 11 - a, b, c, d, e, f,

Structure of claim 16 – g

Structures of claim 21 - h, i

Cytokines: IL-2R, IL-2, IL-4R, IL-4, EPOR, EPO

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Formulas - 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d (claims 1-5, 27, and 35)

Formula -2 (claims 1-4, 6, 27, and 35)

Formula -3 (claims 1-4, 7, 27, and 35)

Formulas - 4a, 4b, 4c, 4d (claims 1-4, 8, 27, and 35)

Formula – 5 (claims 1-4, 9, 27, and 35)

Formula - 6 (claims 1-4, 10, 27, and 35)

Formulas 7a (claim 28)

Formulas 7b, 7c, 7d - (claims 1-4, 27, 29, 34, and 35)

Formulas 8a - (claim 30)

Application/Control Number: 10/575,864

Art Unit: 1647

```
Formula 8b - (claims 1-4, 31, and 35)

Formula 9 - (claim 32)

Formula 10 - (claim 33)

Structures of claim 11 - a, b, c, d, e, f (claims 1-4, 11-15, and 35)

Structure of claim 16 - g (claims 1-4, 16-20, 25, and 25)

Structures of claim 21 - h, i (claims 1-4, 21-24, and 35)

IL-2R (claim 13)

IL-2 (claim 15)

IL-4R (claim 18)

IL-4 (claim 20)

EPOR (claim 23)

EPO (claim 25).
```

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-4

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the different formulas, structures, and cytokines lack the same or corresponding special technical features as evidenced by their unique structural features. Applicant is required to elect one species of formula or structure and one species of cytokine to which examination will be restricted.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

Application/Control Number: 10/575,864 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

# Notice as to "use" claims

9. In order to promote compact prosecution, it is also noted that claims 28, 30, 32, and 33 are "use" claims. The claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

## Notice of Lack of Sequence Compliance

10. In order to promote compact prosecution, it has been noted that the instant claims contain sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, the claims fail to comply with the requirements of

Art Unit: 1647

37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825. Applicant must comply with the requirements of the sequence rules (37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825) before the application can be examined. In particular, there are amino acid sequences in claims 11, 16, and 21 that are over four amino acids in length and do not recite a corresponding SEQ ID NO. It is also noted that there is presently no sequence listing in the instant application file wrapper. Applicant should provide a computer readable form (CRF) copy of a "Sequence Listing" and which includes all of the sequences recited in the claims and specification of the instant application which are encompassed by these rules, a substitute paper copy of that "Sequence Listing", an amendment directing the entry of that paper copy into the specification, and a statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d). The instant claims should also be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(d) which requires a reference to a particular sequence identifier (SEQ ID NO:) be made in the specification and claims wherever a reference is made to that sequence. For rules interpretation Applicant may call (571) 272-2510. See M.P.E.P. 2422.04. For CRF submission help, call (571) 272-2501/2583.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHERIE M. WOODWARD whose telephone number is (571)272-3329. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am-5:30pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath N. Rao can be reached on (571) 272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cherie M. Woodward/ Examiner, Art Unit 1647